

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,094	10/03/2003	James Bennett	102USBB02	3545
23446 7590 06/11/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET			EXAMINER	
			HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D	
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/679,094	BENNETT, JAMES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christine D. Hopkins	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition are greater than any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/679,094

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 26 April 2007 has been entered. Claims 1-5, 7-15 and 17-20 are now pending. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 1, 11 and 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-15, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatts et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,183,457) in view of Cohen (U.S. Patent No. 4,969,867). Gatts et al. (hereinafter Gatts) teach an infant bed which simulates motions, sounds and tactile sensations resembling an intrauterine environment. Regarding claims 1-5 and 7-8, Gatts teaches receiving a triggering event by the cradle. The triggering event disclosed by Gatts is the reduction of ambient light, which is detected automatically by solar sensor 4, or is controlled by a manual switch (col. 2, lines 37-43). The triggering event generates, upon such detection, nighttime

motions or sounds of the mother, which constitute "a plurality of playback operating modes" (col. 7, lines 3-22). Heartbeat sounds (or other sounds indicative of a uterine sound) may also be manipulated (increased) and generated by the cradle (col. 9, lines 56-64) via a sound transducer 2, which includes a tape player or similar sound generating device (col. 3, lines 52-67). The sound emitted from the device may also be varied (col. 2, lines 17-24). The cradle will continue in a "normal operation mode" as daytime transitions to nighttime or vice versa. While Gatts teaches the method as claimed, Gatts fails to teach such a method carried out by an audio enabled toy. Cohen teaches a blanket, mattress, pillow or similar article to be placed against an infant for promoting sleep. Regarding the "audio enabled toy" of claim 1, Cohen teaches a blanket, pillow or other item of similar dimensions that is small and would pacify an infant (col. 1, lines 6-9), thus constituting a "toy." Furthermore, Cohen additionally teaches the generation of a human heartbeat for pacifying an infant (col. 1, lines 64-68 col. 2, lines 1-2) and a sound generator (col. 3, lines 6-17) as disclosed by Gatts and the instant application. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a sound generating device for an infant as suggested by Gatts to an "audio enabled toy" such as a blanket as suggested by Cohen for soothing an calming and infant.

Regarding claims 11-15 and 17-18, Gatts teaches a solar sensor **4** (automatically triggered or controlled by a manual switch) which works in conjunction with electronic circuitry in module **28** to detect and increase or reduce ambient light according to a daytime or nighttime scheme. Upon such detection, nighttime motions or sounds of the

mother are played, dependent upon the operating program initiated, which constitute "a plurality of playback operating modes" (col. 7, lines 3-22). Heartbeat sounds (or other sounds indicative of a uterine sound) may also be manipulated (increased) and generated by the cradle (col. 9, lines 56-64) via a speaker or sound transducer 2, which includes a tape player or similar sound-generating device (col. 3, lines 52-67). The cradle will continue in a "normal operation mode" as daytime transitions to nighttime or vice versa. The system may be controlled by a timer (col. 7, lines 10-13) and the volume varied (col. 2, lines 17-24) from within the device. While Gatts teaches the system as claimed. Gatts fails to teach such a system embodied in an audio enabled toy. Regarding the "audio enabled toy" of claim 11, Cohen teaches a blanket, pillow or other item of similar dimensions that is small and would pacify an infant (col. 1, lines 6-9), thus constituting a "toy." Furthermore, Cohen additionally teaches the generation of a human heartbeat for pacifying an infant (col. 1, lines 64-68 - col. 2, lines 1-2) and a sound generator (col. 3, lines 6-17) as disclosed by Gatts and the instant application. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated a sound generating device for an infant as suggested by Gatts in an "audio enabled toy" such as a blanket as suggested by Cohen for soothing and calming an infant.

4. Claims 9-10 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Gatts et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,183,457) in view of Cohen (U.S. Patent No. 4,969,867) and further in view of Kulick (U.S. Patent No. 6,692,330).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the combination of Gatts and Cohen fails to teach a

Application/Control Number: 10/679,094

Art Unit: 3735

microphone for generating a sound. The combination teaches a device for recording numerous sounds indicative of a mother for soothing an infant, however, the combination does not specifically teach a microphone for recording such noises on the device. Kulick teaches an infant toy having a similar sound-generating device 18 equipped with an audio memory 20 for storing sounds recorded by microphone 24 (col. 2, lines 66-67 - col. 3, lines 1-23). The toy of Kulick is utilized for soothing an infant as also disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen by generating pre-recorded music or natural sounds. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have equipped a sound-generating device as disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen with a microphone and

memory component as suggested by Kulick for playing sounds which simulate a natural

environment and promote calming of an infant.

Regarding claim 19, the combination of Gatts and Cohen fails to teach a memory coupled to the processing circuit. The combination teaches a device for recording numerous sounds indicative of a mother for soothing an infant, however, the combination does not specifically teach a memory coupled to the processing circuit for storing such noises on the device. Kulick teaches an infant toy having a similar sound-generating device 18 equipped with an audio memory 20 for storing sounds recorded by microphone 24 (col. 2, lines 66-67 - col. 3, lines 1-23). The toy of Kulick is utilized for soothing an infant as also disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen by generating pre-recorded music or natural sounds. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have

equipped a sound-generating device as disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen with a memory component coupled to a processing circuit as suggested by Kulick for playing sounds which simulate a natural environment and promote calming of an infant.

Page 6

Regarding claim 20, Gatts teaches a manual switch coupled to a processing circuit, a time and a volume control unit coupled to the processing circuit and a mode control unit 28 coupled to the processing circuit. A microprocessor generates nighttime motions or sounds of the mother, which constitute "a plurality of playback operating modes" (col. 7, lines 3-22). The sound is output through a speaker 2 (col. 7, lines 30-39). However, Gatts fails to specifically teach such a system embodied in a "toy." Regarding the "audio enabled toy" of claim 20, Cohen teaches a blanket, pillow or other item of similar dimensions that is small and would pacify an infant (col. 1, lines 6-9), thus constituting a "toy." Furthermore, Cohen additionally teaches the generation of a human heartbeat for pacifying an infant (col. 1, lines 64-68 - col. 2, lines 1-2) and a sound generator (col. 3, lines 6-17) as disclosed by Gatts and the instant application. However, the combination fails to teach a microphone and memory coupled to the processing circuitry. Kulick teaches an infant toy having a similar sound-generating device 18 equipped with an audio memory 20 for storing sounds recorded by microphone 24 (col. 2, lines 66-67 - col. 3, lines 1-23). The toy of Kulick is utilized for soothing an infant as also disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen by generating pre-recorded music or natural sounds. Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have

equipped a sound-generating device for calming an infant as disclosed by the combination of Gatts and Cohen with a microphone and memory component as suggested by Kulick for recording and playing sounds which simulate a natural environment and promote pacification of an infant.

Response to Arguments

Page 7

Applicant's arguments filed 26 April 2007 with respect to claims 1-5, 7-15 and 17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection citing Gatts et al. ('457) in view of Cohen ('867), and Gatts et al. ('457) in view of Cohen ('867) and further in view of Kulick ('330).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine D. Hopkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-9058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7 a.m.-3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,094

Art Unit: 3735

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles A. Marmor, II

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 8

Art Unit 3735

Christine D Hopkins Examiner

Art Unit 3735